

ID	Full Name (first and last):	Physical Address:	Are you a paid representative receiving any type of compensation or thing of value in exchange for registering your comment into the record?	Which agenda item would you like to comment on?	Is your comment in support, in opposition, or for information only?	Public Comment:
1	Kathleen Williams	1111 Cherokee St	No	Regular 40.CAL. NO. 35,384 - BY: COUNCILME MBER MORRELL (BY REQUEST)	Oppose	Increases in mandatory sanitation fees although sometimes necessary should be discussed and voted on each time, not just added without any discussion.
2	Christopher Montgomery	1230 Washington Ave	No	Regular 40.CAL. NO. 35,384 - BY: COUNCILME MBER MORRELL (BY REQUEST)	Info only	<p>The above ordinance does not address if there is an increase in fees for sanitation, the city will go back to pre Hurricane Ida to twice a week service. Even though there has not been an increase in fees the citizens were paying for twice a week service for once a week. I would support an increase as long as we go back to twice a week service.</p>
3	Susan Klein	1020 Toulouse St, NOLA 70112	No	Regular 42.CA L. NO. 35,397 - BY: COUNCILME MBER HARRIS (BY REQUEST)	Info only	<p>There has been a flooding problem on North Rampart and Toulouse since Hurricane Katrina. It was determined that concrete was blocking the drainage line and it was scheduled for the "short list", but nothing has been done to date. I hope the transfer of funds as proposed in NO. 35,397 will expedite these repairs that were approved and I was told funding had been found for it in 2025. I have included a City email for verification. Finally, I would like to thank Winston Fiore of CM King's office that has been following up on this since March of 2024.</p> <p>Thank you, Sue Klein</p> <p>Aug 4, 2025, 8:34 AM</p> <p>to Xavier, Kyle, Stephen, Winston, Maintenance, Glade, Joseph, Freddie, Erin, info@vcpora.org, me, Ryan</p> <p>All,</p> <p>This is on the tracking tool. I'm having an Engineer review the information from CES to establish the scope of work required to resolve this issue. If a repair is required, there is currently no funding available to make a repair. As a main thoroughfare into to the French Quarter, we will list this as a high priority repair.</p> <p>Regards,</p>

4	William Gilfillan	1111 Cherokee street New Orleans, LA 70118	No	Regular 40.CAL. NO. 35,384 - BY: COUNCILMEMBER MORRELL (BY REQUEST)	Oppose	<i>I oppose this item to enable adjustments to our Sewer and Water Board trash fee.</i>
5	Deborah Jones	1125 Leboeuf Street	No	Regular 40.CAL. NO. 35,384 - BY: COUNCILMEMBER MORRELL (BY REQUEST)	Oppose	<i>I oppose any approval of increasing sanitation fees. The \$24 fee has not provided any additional services to me. Since the reduction of trash pickup has changed to one per week several years ago, where is that extra twelve dollars been going? To insult us as citizens while others are getting daily trash pick-ups and clean sidewalks is adding insult to injury. I can't recall what happened to place us in the once per week pickup; however, I feel it in my fixed income monthly. As a council, please think of the people you are underserving while others benefit highly from the same amount we are paying. I feel you should increase the fees for "the select few" who are benefiting from trash on a more regular basis. My prayers are for you to do what's fair for us as citizens as a whole and not to collect more funds off of the backs of the majority of us.</i>
6	Justin	3704 W Metairie Ave N	No	01. CONSENT AGENDA	Info only	<p><i>Regarding Consent Agenda Item 6 (Durr Heavy Construction Non-Emergency Demolition Contract):</i></p> <p><i>Members of the Council, regarding the approval of this non-emergency demolition contract, I urge the Council to look at how disjointed city departments are actually contributing to structural decay before the wrecking ball is needed.</i></p> <p><i>Currently, the Vieux Carré Commission documents severe structural issues and 'demolition by neglect' in our most historic districts. However, the Department of Safety and Permits and Zoning frequently ignore these VCC safety recommendations, continuing to issue commercial permits and allowing operators to use decaying structures.</i></p> <p><i>New Orleans is spending taxpayer money on demolition contracts on the back end, while our own Zoning and Permitting departments are ignoring the architectural and structural warnings of the VCC on the front end.</i></p> <p><i>I ask the Council to investigate why Zoning is not bound by VCC safety recommendations, and why commercial revenue is being prioritized over structural integrity.</i></p>